

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. BOX 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usrot.ozv

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/994,776	11/28/2001	Arnold Mai	13201.00070	2585	
27160	7590 08/13/2003				
	DMINSTRATOR	1	EXAMINER		
525 WEST M	UCHIN ZAVIS ROSEN IONROE STREET	MAN	LUK, EMMANUEL S		
SUITE 1600 CHICAGO, IL 60661-3693			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ŕ			1722		
			DATE MAILED: 08/13/2003	7	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u>~</u>					()				
·		Application No.		Applicant(s)					
	•	09/994,776		MAI ET AL.	<i>)</i>				
•	Office Action Summary	Examin r		Art Unit					
	,	Emmanuel S. Luk		1722					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the c ver sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHO THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute apply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, hower y within the statutory mini will apply and will expire S , cause the application to g date of this communicat	ver, may a reply be tim mum of thirty (30) days IX (6) MONTHS from become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. communication.				
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 f</u>								
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Disposition of Claims 4) ◯ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application.									
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
,	•								
•	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected. ☑ Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
•—	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election require	ment						
Applicati	on Papers				•				
•	The specification is objected to by the Examine								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
11)[The proposed drawing correction filed on			эvea-ру-тпе-Ехатіі	ner				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.									
·	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	Karriner.							
_	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		: 11 0 0 0 110/-	.) (d) or (f)					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
a)i	All b) Some * c) None of: All Cutting to a fitte antiquity decument.	ta haya baan raga	ivod						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage									
* 5	application from the International Busset the attached detailed Office action for a list	ureau (PCT Rule 1	17.2(a)).		ii otago				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).									
a 15)□ .	 The translation of the foreign language pr Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes 	ovisional applicati	on has been red 35 U.S.C. §§ 12	ceived. 0 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)									
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)			ry (PTO-413) Paper N Patent Application (P					
									

Application/Control Number: 09/994,776

Art Unit: 1722

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/994,776

Art Unit: 1722

4. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Spurr et al (4310282).

Spurr teaches the claimed apparatus having a first and second slide (306, 308), having an actuator (314) connected to one of the connecting bars (302, 304), the actuator is therefore connected to one of the slides since the connecting bars are connected at the ends to a respective slide (Fig. 12), the slides move in opposite directions and transmission means are connected to the slide bars, the transmission means being a gearwheel and toothed faces on the slide (Fig. 4 and Fig. 12), the actuation means are on the slides.

Spurr fails to teach a stripper assembly and pivoting lever.

In regards to the dependent claims 8 and 9, claim 9 is improperly dependent on claim 8. Both claims are describing different embodiments of the transmission means, in this case, the pivoting levers and the gearwheel with toothed faces on the slides. Examiner suggests that claim 9 should not be dependent to claim 8 since this WILL conflict with the claimed apparatus in comparison to the specification provided by the applicants. Currently, Examiner is treating claim 9 as a separate embodiment rather than dependent on claim 8.

In regards to the pivoting lever, Spurr does teach a pivoting lever that is connected to bars (420) in Figure 15. Here, the pivot of the will move the bars in opposite directions.

In regards to the stripper assembly, Spurr teaches an apparatus for retaining the parisons and the opening and closing mechanisms. The structure provided by Spurr is

the same as the claimed apparatus and thus the claimed apparatus does not provide a patentably distinct feature from Spurr. Both are used in molding apparatus and the apparatus in Spurr is used in injection molding machines wherein the parisons are then removed to be blow molded (Col. 2, lines 9-15). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to recognize that the structure of Spurr can be used as a stripper mechanism for holding and subsequent release of the parisons from the mold cores.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Spurr with the pivoting lever in replacement of the gearwheel for transmission means for moving the slides in opposite directions.

5. Claims 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Spurr et al (4310282) as applied to claims 1-10 above, and further in view of Harrison et al (6461141 B1).

Spurr et al fails to teach cam and cam follower on the slides.

Harrison teaches cam tracks (42) that are used for defining a prerelease position for the slides (25, 26) and the cam tracks having a cam insert (53) and cam followers (41).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Spurr et al with cam and cam followers on the slides as taught by Harrison et al for providing an adjustable means for facilitating placement of the molded article into an article receiver during the molding process.

Application/Control Number: 09/994,776

Art Unit: 1722

Conclusion

Page 5

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Knepper et al, Brun, Jr. et al, Hestehave and Fischer.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Emmanuel S. Luk whose telephone number is (703) 305-1558. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8 to 4.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wanda L. Walker can be reached on (703) 308-0457. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0651.

E.L. August 6, 2003

> W. L. WALKER SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700